



Funding Energy Efficiency in Regulated & Restructured Markets

*NARUC Energy Regulatory Partnership Program
The Vermont Public Service Board*

and

*The Georgian National Energy and Water Regulatory
Commission*

by

James Volz

Vermont Public Service Board

December 16, 2008



Benefits of Energy Efficiency

v Cost

- kWh supplied by efficiency = 2.6¢
- kWh average retail cost = 10.7¢

v Reduction in constraints on transmission and distribution system

- Potentially deferring or negating the cost of upgrades

v Environmental benefits of reduced emissions from generation



Delivering Efficiency Programs

- v Two general models for delivering efficiency programs:
 - Individual utilities – usually funded through rates
 - Comprehensive entity (Vermont Energy Efficiency Utility) – funded through a wires charge, sometimes called a Systems Benefits Charge



System Benefits Fund

- ✓ Utilities do not have an incentive to implement energy efficiency
- ✓ A system benefits fund provides assurance that important services, such as efficiency, are delivered in a competitive environment
- ✓ Every customer subject to a non-bypassable wires charge
- ✓ Vermont is not a deregulated state, but has a Systems Benefits Fund



Funding the EEU in Vermont

- ✓ The Board sets three-year budgets for the EEU based on available potential and statutory considerations
- ✓ The EEU is funded by a non-bypassable volumetric wires charge known as the Energy Efficiency Charge (“EEC”)
- ✓ The EEC is shown as a separate line on customers’ electric bills
- ✓ All utilities in the state charge the same EEC rates



Determining the EEC

- v Collections are based on the allocation of statewide utility revenue by customer class for residential, commercial, and industrial
- v A kWh charge is set for each class
- v Customers with capacity charges pay a lower kWh charge plus a demand use charge
- v All rates are updated annually to reflect the most recent utility revenues



Forward Capacity Market

- v An open market where capacity suppliers offer to sell a guarantee for delivering capacity in the future
- v Purpose is to ensure that sufficient capacity is available to meet New England's peak demand
- v Designed to allow both demand and supply resources to participate



Forward Capacity Market *(cont.)*

- v VEIC, the Energy Efficiency Utility contractor, sells demand resources in the FCM on behalf of Vermont electric ratepayers
- v Revenue from the FCM auction is being used to fund a non-regulated fuels energy efficiency program authorized by statute



Financing Efficiency

- v Using bonds or other mechanisms to pay for efficiency programs
- v Advantage – could fund significant amount of efficiency up-front
- v Disadvantage – carrying costs
- v More appropriate for “bubble” in delivery of efficiency program rather than as long-term funding source



Efficiency Loans

- v Low or no-interest loans to customers provided that the money is used to implement efficiency measures
- v Customer pays the full cost of efficiency but is able to finance the cost
- v Loans can be combined with subsidies to achieve larger savings



Exemptions to the EEC

- v Self-administered efficiency program
- v Customer must pay at least \$5,000 in EEC to be eligible
- v A percentage of the EEC would be placed into an energy savings account to be used by the customer for efficiency programs
- v The remaining percentage would be used for system-wide benefits



Rate Designs and Energy Efficiency

- v Promote and encourage energy efficiency investments with rate design:
 - *Inclining Block Rate Structure*
 - *Seasonal Rates*
 - *Time-of-use Rates*
 - *Smart Metering and Real-time Pricing*
- v Vermont legislature recently passed a statute encouraging the Board to approve rate designs that encourage the efficient use of electricity



Rate Designs and Energy Efficiency (cont.)

- v The Board opened a docket to investigate using rate designs and smart-metering technology to promote energy efficiency in Vermont
- v Statute requires the Board to issue a report and plan for implementation by Dec. 31, 2008
- v Plan should allow for high-energy users to have a reasonable opportunity to implement energy-efficiency and conservation measures



Inclining Block Rate Structure

- ✓ Design rates to include a base block of power
- ✓ Increase rates by each additional block of power to encourage consumers to decrease usage
- ✓ Effect is to charge low-usage customers less
- ✓ Customers should be charged for the marginal cost of power
- ✓ Sends a strong price signal to encourage customers to implement cost-effective energy efficiency efforts



Inclining Block Rate Structure (cont.)

- ✓ Municipal and cooperative utilities have rate designs that include a low-cost initial block of power for residential customers
- ✓ Rates are based on the utility's power contracts
- ✓ Low-usage customers realize the most benefit on their bills under this structure



Seasonal Rates

- ✓ Charge higher rates during peak season and lower rates during off-peak season
- ✓ Work to match rates charged to customers with demand patterns
- ✓ May reduce the need for transmission upgrades due to seasonal load peaks which reduces costs to all customers
- ✓ Encourages customers to implement cost-effective load management measures



Seasonal Rates (cont.)

- v Utilities in Vermont no longer use seasonal rates because the rate design did not reflect reality
- v Electric heat for residential customers, which helped drive winter demand, is no longer common
- v Vermont recently moved from a winter-peaking state to a summer-peaking state



Time-of-Use Rates

- v Charges are determined based on the time of day the usage occurs
- v Peak hours are the most expensive
- v Off-peak hours are the least expensive and occur during the utility's lowest load
- v Gives customers a price incentive to reduce usage during peak periods



Time-of-Use Rates (cont.)

- v Vermont's utilities do not charge residential customers time-of-use rates
- v Some commercial and industrial customers are charged based on peak and off-peak usage for both demand and energy charges
- v The next step in implementing time-of-day pricing is smart meters



Time-of-Use Vs. Real Time Rates

- v Time-of-use rates are based on average blocks of time
 - For example, higher rates from 10 a.m. to 6 p.m., which is generally the time when electric usage is highest
- v Real time rates are based upon the actual time of usage
 - For example, rates are based upon the cost of delivering power at that time



Smart-Metering Technology

- v Smart meters allow a utility to know the usage of specific customers at any given time which could allow for real-time pricing
- v Meters also provide information on cost of power to customers
- v Customers can change their usage based on information that the utility provides to the meter
- v Emerging technology will allow for automated controls in homes



Efficiency Resource Standards Overview

- v Efficiency savings targets for utilities or other efficiency program providers
- v Savings can include
 - end-use efficiency
 - Improvements in generation, transmission, distribution efficiency
 - Combined heat and power



Efficiency Resource Standards Targets

- v Targets can start at modest levels and be ramped up over time
- v Typically two types of targets:
 - A requirement that a utility offset a certain percentage of their growth in demand
 - A predetermined amount of MWh and MW to be saved



Efficiency Resource Standards Trading

- ✓ If a utility exceeds its targets it can sell excess savings to a utility that hasn't met its target
- ✓ Market will find lowest cost method of achieving savings
- ✓ Downside of trading – the customers in a utility that meets its target through trading do not receive the benefits of efficiency



Efficiency Resource Standards in Vermont

- v Board's contract with EEU has MWh and MW goals
- v With one state-wide efficiency provider, there is not the option for trading